

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/998,060	11/30/2001	Don Vaughan	31.043	5496
Timothy E. Newholm BOYLE FREDRICKSON NEWHOLM STEIN & GRATZ S.C. 250 Plaza, Suite 1030 250 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202			EXAMINER	
			HEPPERLE, STEPHEN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	\sim
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\mathcal{U}\setminus$			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/998,060	VAUGHAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stephen M. Hepperle	3753			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h th correspondence address			
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFf SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI atute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	tion.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>14-22</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-11 and 13</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>7,8 and 12</u> is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contraction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to b the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) \(\begin{aligned} & \cdot \\	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domince a specific reference was included in the 7 CFR 1.78. 1) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome ference was included in the first sentence of	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). list of the certified copies not restic priority under 35 U.S.C. § e first sentence of the specifical provisional application has beliestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	eceived in this National Stage eceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) tion or in an Application Data Sheet. 15 120 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachmen		_				
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No) 5) Notice of Inf	immary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/998,060

Art Unit: 3753

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brane. Brane shows a water softener valve arrangement with a flow control washer 66 upstream of venturi 40. The venturi admits liquid, not gas. However, the actual fluid aspirated has not been given weight in apparatus claims.

Claims 1-6, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shames. Shames shows a flow washer 23' (Fig. 5) upstream from a venturi 43d, which admits ambient air. Bar 14'a is included to reduce noise.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brane. The actual dimension of the aspirated passage is seen as a design choice. In other words, depending on the size of the overall device, it would have been obvious to size the inlet as recited.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brane in view of Bolhohner. Bolhofner shows a check valve 56 on the aspirated inlet to a venturi. It would have been obvious to provide Brane with an aspirated fluid check valve as shown by Bolhofner to prevent reverse flow when the main venturi flow stops.

Application/Control Number: 09/998,060

Art Unit: 3753

Claims 7-8 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 14-22 are allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bloch introduces gas into a fluid line to reduce noise. Thompson shows a flow washer 53' (Fig. 1a) upstream from a venturi 54, which admits fluid from passage 72 (Fig. 2).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Hepperle whose telephone number is 703-308-1051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on 703-308-1272. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7765.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

> Stephen M. Hepperle **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3753